

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/692,054	COSTANTINO ET AL.
	Examiner Ryan Severson	Art Unit 3731

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Ryan Severson.

(3) _____.

(2) Andrew Fessak.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2007

Time: 1:30 p.m. EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

25-62

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Fessak to verify which claims read upon the elected group and species as applicant did not specifically recite which claims do so in the response filed 21 December 2006. Examiner and Mr. Fessak came to an agreement that claims 25-30, 32-43, and 50-60 read on the elected invention/species. Claims 31, 44-49, 61, and 62 will be withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to the non-elected invention/species..